



Erasmus+ Programme Evaluation Report

Proposal number:	575092-EPP-1-2016-1-IT-EPPJMO-CHAIR
Proposal title:	The future of European Integration: EU Economic and Financial Governance in a Global Economy
Coordinator:	Loredana Di Rubbo
Applicant organisation:	UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DEL MOLISE

Award Criteria	Score	Max
RELEVANCE OF THE PROJECT	22	25
<p>The proposal focuses on a specific angle which is at the top of the agenda for policy-makers and lawyers alike. Its activities target not only students but also officials and the wider public. There is a strong regional dimension in the light of a cooperation arrangement between the host institution and local authorities. An interesting feature of the project is that the applicant has already obtained the agreement of the region to open the teaching activities to the region's civil servants. This dimension suggests that the proposal would provide added value. The proposal aims to enhance EU law teaching which, at the moment, is somewhat basic in its scope at the applicant institution. The methodology is not fully explained, and this especially relates to the teaching activity. According to the proposal, all the activities will be multidisciplinary. 54 out of the 90 teaching hours will be new in the university curricula. Very distinguished professors will be invited to the events to be organized. All in all, the proposal meets the specific objectives of the action and is relevant to the programme as a whole.</p>		
QUALITY OF THE PROJECT DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION	20	25
<p>The work programme is extremely well explained and detailed. The work plan is feasible, and is composed of 2 courses (one of them existing but extended by 9 hours), 4 deliverables, and 2 events. The design of the two teaching activities suggests that the proposal would bring added value: the core compulsory module is expanded and is accompanied by a new compulsory module focused on economic governance. Teaching courses will benefit students of the 8 faculties of the University of Molise, civil servants, professors, teachers, society at large. This is a good practice, although it is difficult to see how students of, for example, Medicine, Engineering or Surgery would be able to follow a master course about EU economic and financial governance side-by-side with students of the main target group, namely, master students of Political Science who have already followed the compulsory undergraduate course on EU Law. Syllabi have been included. The products are a unidirectional website, publicity materials and 2 books with open access whose tables of contents have not been provided. According to the proposal, privacy problems prevent the attachment of the table of contents of one of the books, but this undermines the possibility to assess whether it is sufficiently focused on Europe and whether the contents are consistent. One of the volumes will be a collective book but the names of invited authors have not been disclosed. Publishing houses are unknown for both. Despite the claim raised that the proposal will promote excellence in research, no research activity has been forecasted. This contradicts what it is said in the eForm, namely, that with the EU co-financing, and with the help of the Campobasso province, research on EU subjects will be promoted in 37 schools. This is, potentially, an original and very useful component of the proposal. It is not, however, clear from the proposal what exactly amounts to because the information is not complete. In what type of schools? And what type of research? One assumes that it is about visits by the Chair holder to schools - but to talk about what? and how regularly and to what effect? These are not articulated clearly in the proposal. The proposal includes a series of lectures and the organization of a conference in the final year of the award. Both are well thought out. The information provided about</p>		

the former is more extensive than that of the final conference.		
QUALITY OF THE PROJECT TEAM	21	25
<p>The proposal contains the CV, teaching experiences and selected publications of the prospective Chair holder. It contains the names of 4 administrative staff but their profiles are not disclosed. The applicant is a senior professor of Economics with an interesting background of international fellowships and studies abroad. The section of the proposal that should deal with the aims of the organization (key activities, affiliations, size) does not explain this question but, instead, the applicant's profile. The long CV is attached but its format is strange and this makes it difficult to read the scholar's qualifications, although his profile is centred in European studies. International publications in different languages are included. The applicant has taught and written in the areas covered by the proposal. His background suggests that he is qualified to carry out the activities set out in the proposal.</p>		
IMPACT AND DISSEMINATION	20	25
<p>Impacts are explained. The proposal would produce impact on a range of audiences. The impact on research is the only one that is not clearly perceivable from the work plan. The dissemination plan is correct but not ambitious. It is mainly based in the deliverables and events and also in the word-of-mouth from people attending the JM activities, which is not a very proactive mechanism. Considerations has been given to monitoring the quality of the activities carried out under the aegis of the Chair. Quality control measures are standard.</p>		
Total	83	100